

CALDWELL LESLIE & PROCTOR, PC
ANDREW ESBENSHADE, State Bar No. 202301
esbensshade@caldwell-leslie.com
1000 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 600
Los Angeles, California 90017-2463
Telephone: (213) 629-9040
Facsimile: (213) 629-9022

Charles E. Tompkins (*pro hac vice* application pending)
ctompkins@shulaw.com
Todd S. Heyman (*pro hac vice* application pending)
theyman@shulaw.com

SHAPIRO HABER & URMY LLP
53 State Street
Boston, MA 02109
Tel: (617) 439-3939
Fax: (617) 439-0134

*Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed
Classes*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

RDA Construction Corp., on Behalf of)
Itself and all Others Similarly Situated,)
Plaintiff,)

vs.)

TRELLEBORG AB; VIRGINIA)
HARBOR SERVICES, INC. D/B/A)
SEAWARD; SEAWARD)
INTERNATIONAL INC.; SEAWARD)
HOLDINGS, INC.; FEN TEK)
MARINE SYSTEMS GMBH;)
URETHANE PRODUCTS)
CORPORATION; MARINE)
FENDERS INTERNATIONAL, INC.;)

CV 10-03560
Civil Action No.:

**RDA CONSTRUCTION CORP.'S
NOTICE OF RELATED CASES
PURSUANT TO LOCAL
RULE 83-1.3.1**

FILED
10 MAY -5 AM 11:48
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF.
LOS ANGELES
BY: _____

1 WATERMAN SUPPLY COMPANY,)
 2 INC.; NEXTWAVE MARINE LLC;)
 3 PLASTIC PILINGS, INC.;)
 4 MARITIME INTERNATIONAL,)
 5 INC.; FRANK MARCH; ROBERT B.)
 6 TAYLOR; DONALD MURRAY;)
 7 PETER NILSSON; WILLIAM ALAN)
 8 POTTS; GERALD THERMOS;)
 9 SEYMOUR WATERMAN; ANDREW)
 10 BARMAKIAN; JOHN DEATS;)
 11 TOMAS CRAWAACK; PROMAR)
 12 (A.K.A. PROMAR LLC OR PROMAR)
 13 CORP.); FENDERCARE MARINE)
 14 SOLUTIONS; JAMES FISHER PLC;)
 15 THE YOKOHAMA RUBBER)
 16 COMPANY, LTD.; BRIDGESTONE)
 17 CORPORATION; BRIDGESTONE)
 18 INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS)
 19 AMERICA, INC.; and SUMITOMO)
 20 RUBBER INDUSTRIES, LTD.,)
 21 Defendants.)
 22


23 Pursuant to Local Rule 83-1.3.1, Plaintiffs submit this Notice of Related
 24 Cases.

25 This action is related to the following qui tam action filed by Douglas Farrow
 26 on May 9, 2005, in the Central District of California captioned *United States of*
 27 *America ex rel Douglas Farrow, et al. v. Trelleborg, AB et al.* (Case No. CV-05-
 28 00381-GW (SGLx). The Fifth Amended Complaint was unsealed by the Court on
 February 19, 2010. Plaintiffs in the Qui Tam Action allege anti-competitive conduct
 that is substantially similar to the conduct at issue in this case and involve mane of

1 the same parties and co-conspirators. The Qui Tam Action arises from the same or
2 closely related transactions, conducts or events; calls for the determination of similar
3 questions of law and fact; and would entail duplication of judicial time and
4 resources if heard by different judges.
5
6
7

8 Dated: May 5, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

9 
10

Andrew Esbenshade

CALDWELL LESLIE & PROCTOR, PC

1000 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 600

Los Angeles, CA 90017

Tel: (213) 629-9040

Charles E. Tompkins

ctompkins@shulaw.com

Todd S. Heyman

theyman@shulaw.com

SHAPIRO HABER & URMY LLP

53 State Street

Boston, MA 02109

Tel: (617) 439-3939

Fax: (617) 439-0134

Paul Holtzman

pholtzman@kb-law.com

KROKIDAS & BLUESTEIN LLP

600 Atlantic Avenue

Boston, MA 02210

Tel: (617) 482-7211

Fax: (617) 482-7212

27 *Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed*
28 *Classes*